



DRAFT

**Monthly Report:
January**

FY2021

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	16	13	17	28	24	18	25
Harrisburg	40	43	48	29	30	39	51
Pere Marquette	12	10	8	11	13	10	13
St. Charles	34	38	37	42	32	25	37
Warrenville	21	27	23	20	24	24	21
IDJJ Total	123	131	133	130	123	116	147


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	15.2	13.4	17.2	23.0	25.7	21.3	21.4
Harrisburg	35.5	42.4	49.5	39.8	33.0	37.9	44.6
Pere Marquette	10.5	9.2	8.8	9.3	10.4	11.5	11.0
St. Charles	36.2	35.0	38.4	39.2	36.8	30.8	31.4
Warrenville	17.8	24.8	26.9	20.8	22.1	23.8	21.8
IDJJ Total	115.2	124.8	140.7	132.1	128.1	125.3	130.2

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	85	84	82	81	82		
Harrisburg	139	137	133	133	132		
Pere Marquette	48	48	47	46	47		
St. Charles	155	152	151	151	138		
Warrenville	67	68	67	67	67		
IDJJ Total	494	489	480	478	468		

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Seven Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	76.5%	78.9%	90.5%	89.7%	93.1%	96.4%	100%
Harrisburg	70%	63.5%	57.7%	42.4%	43.8%	60.7%	60.6%
Pere Marquette	38.5%	33.3%	38.5%	33.3%	35.3%	35.7%	36.8%
St. Charles	51.1%	52.3%	38.5%	42.6%	35.8%	26.4%	49.0%
Warrenville	54.5%	62.9%	51.6%	56%	66.7%	75%	75%
IDJJ Average	59.7%	59.7%	52.7%	50.5%	52.3%	56.4%	62.8%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
July	4.6%	51.1%	33.6%	10.8%	0.0%	0.0%
August	3.8%	53.8%	36.4%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
September	3.6%	52.5%	36.7%	7.2%	0.0%	0.0%
October	3.8%	54.5%	34.8%	6.8%	0.0%	0.0%
November	3.1%	46.9%	43.8%	6.2%	0.0%	0.0%
December	4.8%	51.2%	39.2%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
January	2.6%	46.1%	39.0%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	3.8%	50.9%	37.6%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
July	2.94%	1.47%	19.12%	30.15%	46.32%
August	2.86%	2.86%	15%	35%	44.29%
September	2.72%	2.72%	12.93%	38.78%	42.86%
October	3.62%	2.17%	15.22%	30.43%	48.55%
November	3.68%	5.88%	13.24%	27.94%	49.26%
December	2.70%	6.76%	14.19%	33.11%	43.24%
January	3.16%	3.80%	17.72%	25.32%	50.00%
IDJJ Average	3.10%	3.67%	15.35%	31.53%	46.36%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	4	4	7	9	11	10	9
Harrisburg	11	17	16	11	8	10	9
Pere Marquette	13	15	13	18	17	14	19
St. Charles	4	6	9	7	8	7	8
Warrenville	5	4	13	9	6	7	9
IDJJ Total	37	46	58	54	50	48	54

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	120	-	63	-	71	106	-
Harrisburg	-	-	56	80	-	42	87
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	98	-	-	97
St. Charles	-	172	-	-	-	38	95
Warrenville	60	-	-	-	-	60	-
IDJJ Average	90	172	60	88	71	62	95

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Successful Completion	28.6%	10%	34.8%	31.6%	13.3%	22.2%	46.2%
Behavioral Discharge	0%	10%	4.3%	5.3%	6.7%	5.6%	7.7%
Youth Released	57.1%	70%	60.9%	57.9%	73.3%	66.7%	30.8%
Other Discharge	14.3%	10%	4.3%	5.3%	6.7%	5.6%	15.4%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Marijuana	97.3%	97.8%	89.7%	85.2%	78%	72.9%	77.8%
Alcohol	0%	0%	5.2%	7.4%	8%	8.3%	3.7%
Other Drugs	2.7%	2.2%	5.2%	7.4%	12%	14.6%	14.8%
No Diagnosis	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	4.2%	3.7%

For the youth whose primary substance abuse is not specified, four reported using amphetamines, 2 opioids, 1 hallucinogens, and one reported benzodiazepines.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	8	5	9	16	13	9	14
Harrisburg	27	31	40	34	25	31	33
Pere Marquette	11	6	6	7	7	11	13
St. Charles	17	13	14	12	9	8	12
Warrenville	11	16	17	12	13	11	12
IDJJ Total	74	71	86	81	67	70	84

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	15	16	16	16	16	16	16
Pere Marquette	6	7	7	6	6	6	6
St. Charles	7	7	6	8	7	7	7
Warrenville	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
IDJJ Total	42	42	41	42	41	41	41

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	0.7	0.3	1.0	1.5	1.2	0.5	1.2
Harrisburg	0.9	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.9
Pere Marquette	1.4	0.6	0	0.4	0.4	1.0	1.2
St. Charles	2.4	2.3	1.6	1.8	1.4	0.6	1.2
Warrenville	2.7	3.0	4.7	4.0	4.0	3.7	3.3
IDJJ Average	1.4	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.0	1.3

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	4.0	3.0	3.0	9.0	7.0	6.0	7.0
Harrisburg	3.0	5.2	6.4	5.4	4.2	4.2	4.6
Pere Marquette	4.0	3.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	7.0
St. Charles	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.5	3.0
Warrenville	1.5	3.5	2.5	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0
IDJJ Average	2.8	3.9	4.3	4.1	3.6	3.6	4.1

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	Total
Chicago	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	3
Harrisburg	1	1	3	3	0	3	2	13
Pere Marquette	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	4
St. Charles	0	2	5	2	5	6	0	20
Warrenville	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	4
IDJJ Total	3	6	10	6	5	10	4	44

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	50%	60%	33.3%	56.3%	53.8%	66.6%	50%
Harrisburg	66.7%	83.9%	80%	79.4%	84%	67.7%	69.7%
Pere Marquette	36.4%	50%	100%	85.7%	85.7%	54.5%	53.8%
St. Charles	29.4%	30.8%	42.8%	25.0%	22.2%	62.5%	50%
Warrenville	37.3%	43.8%	29.4%	33.3%	30.8%	18.1%	16.7%
IDJJ Total	45.9%	60.6%	60.5%	60.5%	59.7%	57.1%	53.6%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	4	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	7
IYC Pere Marquette	13	0
IYC St. Charles	0	6
IYC Warrenville	0	0
IDJJ Total	17	13

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0.0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0.0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0.0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0.0%
IYC Warrenville	0	0.0%
IDJJ Total	0	0.0%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	0	2	2	5	9	2	8
Harrisburg	8	7	14	14	11	18	16
Pere Marquette	1	1	0	1	5	8	5
St. Charles	7	9	14	19	5	11	11
Warrenville	3	2	7	4	3	2	8
IDJJ Total	19	21	37	43	33	40	48

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	14.9	11.6	21.7	35.0	9.4	37.4	18.6
Harrisburg	22.5	16.5	28.3	35.2	33.3	47.5	35.9	31.3
Pere Marquette	9.5	10.9	0	10.8	48.1	69.6	45.5	27.8
St. Charles	19.3	25.7	36.5	48.5	13.6	35.7	35.0	30.6
Warrenville	16.9	8.1	26	19.2	13.6	8.4	36.7	18.4
IDJJ Average	16.5	16.8	26.3	32.6	25.8	31.9	36.9	26.7

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	0	1	0	1	1	1	1
Harrisburg	1	3	3	6	4	8	6
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
St. Charles	2	2	3	5	0	2	0
Warrenville	0	0	1	0	0	1	2
IDJJ Total	3	6	7	13	6	12	9

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	7.5	0	4.3	3.9	4.7	4.7	3.6
Harrisburg	2.8	7.1	6.1	15.1	12.1	21.1	13.5	11.1
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	10.8	9.6	8.7	0	4.2
St. Charles	5.5	5.7	7.8	12.8	0	6.5	0	5.5
Warrenville	0	0	3.7	0	0	4.2	9.2	2.4
IDJJ Average	2.6	4.8	5.0	9.8	4.7	9.6	6.9	6.2

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	0	0	1	2	2	0	3
Harrisburg	4	1	9	7	7	10	9
Pere Marquette	1	0	0	0	4	4	2
St. Charles	3	2	6	3	3	6	5
Warrenville	1	0	5	2	1	0	2
IDJJ Total	9	3	21	14	17	20	21

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	0	5.8	8.7	7.8	0	14.0	5.2
Harrisburg	11.3	2.4	18.2	17.6	21.2	26.4	20.2	16.8
Pere Marquette	9.5	0	0	0	38.5	34.8	18.2	14.4
St. Charles	8.3	5.7	15.6	7.7	8.2	19.5	15.9	11.6
Warrenville	5.6	0	18.6	9.6	4.5	0	9.2	6.8
IDJJ Average	7.8	2.4	14.9	10.6	13.3	16.0	16.1	11.6

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	0	1	1	2	6	1	4
Harrisburg	3	3	2	1	0	0	1
Pere Marquette	0	1	0	0	0	3	3
St. Charles	2	5	5	11	2	3	6
Warrenville	2	2	1	2	2	1	4
IDJJ Total	7	12	9	16	10	8	18

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21	Avg.
Chicago	0	7.5	5.8	8.7	23.3	4.7	18.7	9.8
Harrisburg	8.5	7.1	4	2.5	0	0	2.2	3.5
Pere Marquette	0	10.9	0	0	0	26.1	27.3	9.2
St. Charles	5.5	14.3	13	28.1	5.4	9.7	19.1	13.6
Warrenville	11.2	8.1	3.7	9.6	9	4.2	18.3	9.2
IDJJ Average	6.1	9.6	6.4	12.1	7.8	6.4	13.8	8.9

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Harrisburg	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Warrenville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IDJJ Total	0	1	2	1	0	0	0

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	0	0	6	2	12	1	2
Harrisburg	2	2	6	1	2	3	4
Pere Marquette	0	1	0	0	0	3	2
St. Charles	1	6	6	11	2	2	5
Warrenville	0	0	0	1	0	1	3
IDJJ Total	3	9	18	15	16	9	16

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	0	4	1	8	11	3	0
Harrisburg	21	20	46	29	14	40	35
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	1	4	4	1
St. Charles	18	40	24	28	6	22	16
Warrenville	18	12	18	21	10	15	22
IDJJ Total	57	76	89	87	45	84	74

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	0	30	6	35	43	14	0
Harrisburg	59	47	93	73	42	105	78
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	11	38	35	9
St. Charles	50	114	63	71	16	71	51
Warrenville	101	48	67	105	45	63	101
IDJJ Average	49	61	63	66	35	67	57

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	-	123	95	138	99	53	-
Harrisburg	133	173	144	118	111	160	147
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	33	53	93	37
St. Charles	63	84	91	88	55	107	64
Warrenville	108	129	94	103	92	88	122
IDJJ Average	103	117	119	106	91	126	120

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	-	-	-	3	3	-	-
Harrisburg	-	1	-	-	-	1	-
Pere Marquette	-	1	-	-	1	3	-
St. Charles	-	3	-	-	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	2	-	-	-	2	-
IDJJ Total	0	7	0	3	4	6	0

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	2	-	-	2	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
St. Charles	13	2	9	3	13	6	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Average	15	2	9	5	14	6	1


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	0	0	2	0	0

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	2	7	-	3	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	2	8	0	3	1	0	1

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth on Aftercare

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	593	631	571	593	564	556	509
Youth in Community	299	348	272	291	287	277	224
Youth in Facilities	95	94	105	106	98	102	121
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	157	143	155	156	147	139	140
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	42	46	39	41	42	38	24

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (per Specialist)

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Youth Assigned to Specialist	9.0	10.0	8.6	9.0	8.9	9.2	8.4
Youth in Community	6.8	7.9	6.2	6.8	6.8	6.8	5.5
Youth in Facilities	2.2	2.1	2.4	2.2	2.1	2.5	3.0

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – January 2021

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	7	46	6.6	38	5.4
Chicago Heights	3	16	5.3	12	4
Aurora	2	18	9	15	7.5
Rockford	3	20	6.7	14	4.7
Peoria	4	29	7.3	24	6
East St. Louis	6	39	6.5	33	5.5
Champaign	4	36	9	23	5.8
Springfield	3	31	10.3	26	8.7
Placement	7	81	11.6	26	3.7
Intensive	2	29	14.5	13	6.5
IDJJ Total	41	345	8.4	224	5.5

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – January 2021

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	111	2.4	107	2.8
Chicago Heights	44	2.8	43	3.6
Aurora	40	2.2	40	2.7
Rockford	55	2.8	55	3.9
Peoria	100	3.4	98	4.1
East St. Louis	85	2.2	84	2.5
Champaign	76	2.1	67	2.9
Springfield	54	1.7	53	2.0
Placement	127	1.6	111	4.3
IDJJ Total	692	2.0	658	2.9

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	12	11	1	0
<i>August</i>	7	2	5	0
<i>September</i>	11	7	4	0
<i>October</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>November</i>	14	7	6	1
<i>December</i>	10	5	4	1
<i>January</i>	5	5	0	0
IDJJ Average	9.1	6	2.9	0.3

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>July</i>	91.7%	8.3%	0%
<i>August</i>	28.6%	71.4%	0%
<i>September</i>	63.6%	36.4%	0%
<i>October</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>November</i>	50%	42.9%	7.1%
<i>December</i>	50%	40%	10%
<i>January</i>	100%	0%	0%
IDJJ Average	69.1%	28.4%	2.4%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Number of Admissions per Month	30	34	38	30	22	25	46
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	16.7%	14.7%	7.9%	20%	22.7%	12%	4.3%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	13	26	35	34	29	32	18
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	76.9%	100%	100%	94.1%	86.2%	93.8%	83.3%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
Number of New Supervised Youth	10	27	37	33	26	33	15
Number of Exits from Aftercare	33	31	42	41	26	43	25

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	January 2021	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	2	4.3%
Court Evaluation Return	1	2.2%
Discharged and Recommitted	8	17.4%
Initial Commitment	26	56.5%
New Commitment	7	15.2%
Technical Parole Violator	2	4.3%
Total	46	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, January 2021



Section 10: TRD Data for January 2021

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
<i>IYC Chicago</i>	46	10
<i>IYC Harrisburg</i>	31	18
<i>IYC Pere Marquette</i>	114	4
<i>IYC St. Charles</i>	33	5
<i>IYC Warrenville</i>	19	1
Total	243	38

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	1	0	0	0	1
<i>Delinquent Program Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	2	0	0	4	3	9
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	1	2	2	1	1	7
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	1	9	4	1	5	20
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	0	2	1	1	5	9
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	10	1	9	4	3	27
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	32	16	98	22	2	170
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	10	18	4	5	1	38

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency




Table 43: Frequency of BARJ Adjustment

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
IYC Chicago	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IYC St. Charles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IYC Warrenville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Table 44: Average Length of BARJ Adjustment

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
IYC Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IYC Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
IYC Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IYC St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IYC Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average	0	0	0	0	0	0	3


Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
IYC Chicago	4	2	1	7	8	20	10
IYC Harrisburg	1	3	16	18	6	14	18
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0	1	0	3	5	4
IYC St. Charles	4	0	11	10	1	1	5
IYC Warrenville	1	1	0	1	0	0	1
Total	10	6	29	36	18	40	38

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	7/20	8/20	9/20	10/20	11/20	12/20	1/21
IYC Chicago	6	9	3	4	7	9	8
IYC Harrisburg	5	8	6	7	5	9	7
IYC Pere Marquette	-	-	5	-	11	21	18
IYC St. Charles	8	-	11	12	7	14	9
IYC Warrenville	10	10	-	14	-	-	45
Average	7	9	8	8	7	10	10

Summed Total Adjustment Days by Month



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: January 2021

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	89	51	7	75
<i>Moderate</i>	16	49	40	29
<i>Low</i>	0	5	58	1
Total	105	105	105	105


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	14	-	-
<i>High</i>	19	96	68
<i>Moderate-High</i>	22	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	29	0	27
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	6	-	-
<i>Low</i>	6	0	1
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	96	96	96

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	5	-	-
<i>High</i>	6	19	14
<i>Moderate-High</i>	24	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	35	20	48
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	19	-	-
<i>Low</i>	7	8	34
<i>None</i>	0	49	0
Total	96	96	96

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
July	0	2	0	1	0	1	16	20
August	0	1	0	2	0	0	14	17
September	0	2	0	4	0	6	30	42
October	0	6	0	1	0	2	16	25
November	1	3	1	3	0	3	7	18
December	1	3	0	2	0	1	17	24
January	1	1	0	1	0	5	19	27

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for January 31st, 2021

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	138	94.5%	380	96.2%
Female	8	5.5%	15	3.8%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.5		18.5	
16 & Under	47	32.2%	56	14.3%
17 to 20	98	67.1%	303	77.5%
20.5 & Over	1	0.7%	32	8.2%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	25	17.1%	80	20.3%
Black	99	67.8%	265	67.1%
Hispanic	14	9.6%	35	8.9%
American Indian	0	0%	1	0.3%
Asian	0	0%	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	8	5.5%	14	3.5%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	25	17.1%	113	28.6%
Collar Counties	10	6.9%	34	8.6%
Metro-East	10	6.8%	19	4.8%
Central	62	42.5%	125	31.6%
Southern	11	7.5%	37	9.4%
Northern	28	19.2%	53	13.4%
Other	0	0%	14	3.6%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	12	8.2%	1	0.3%
Class X Felony	40	27.4%	82	20.8%
Class 1 Felony	41	28.1%	115	29.1%
Class 2 Felony	33	22.6%	144	36.5%
Class 3 Felony	13	8.9%	20	5.1%
Class 4 Felony	7	4.8%	19	4.8%

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	3	2.1%	3	0.8%
Delinquent	89	61%	348	88.1%
Extended Jurisdiction	8	5.5%	11	2.8%
Felon	31	21.2%	2	0.5%
First Degree Murderer	4	2.7%	1	0.3%
Habitual Juvenile	7	4.8%	7	1.8%
Violent Juvenile	4	2.7%	9	2.3%
From Other State	0	0%	14	3.5%

Aftercare Completion – January 2021

Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	38	97.4%
Female	1	2.6%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age		
16 & Under	9	23.1%
17 to 20	28	71.8%
20.5 & Over	2	5.1%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	3	7.7%
Black	30	76.9%
Hispanic	4	10.3%
Multi/Biracial	2	5.1%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	7	17.9%
Collar Counties	3	7.7%
Metro-East	1	2.6%
Central	11	28.2%
Southern	4	10.3%
Northern	12	30.8%
Out of State	1	2.6%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	9	23.1%
Class 1 Felony	12	30.8%
Class 2 Felony	12	30.8%
Class 3 Felony	2	5.1%
Class 4 Felony	3	7.7%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	1	2.6%
Delinquent	34	87.2%
Extended Jurisdiction	2	5.1%
Felon	0	0%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	0	0%
Violent Juvenile	1	2.6%
From Other State	1	2.6%

Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	16	41%
Aftercare Discharge	14	35.9%
Adult Sentence Discharge	5	12.8%
Court Ordered Discharge	3	7.7%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	1	2.6%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare

These youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities

